Divine creation and annihilation, as an impossibility. Moreover, the Anaxnflandnan Atheism is as it were swallowed up into the Democritic, and further improved in it; this latter carrying on the same design, with more seeming artifice, greater plausibility of wit, and a more pompous show of something, where, indeed, there is nothing* Upon which account, it hath for many ages past beaten the Anaximandrian Atheism in a manner quite off the stage, and reigned there alone. So that the Democritic or Atomic Atheism seems to be much more considerable of the two, tjian the Anaximaudrian or Hylopathiap^

Again, as for the two other forms of Atheism, if there were any life at all in matter, as the first and immediate recipient of it, then in reason this *mu$t* needs be supposed to be after the same manner in it, that all other corporeal qualities are in bodies, so as to be divisible together with it, a$d some of it be in every part of the matter; which is according to the hypothesis *of* the Hylozoists. Whereas, on the contrary, the Stoical Atheists supposing one life only in the whole mass of matter, after such a manner, as that none of the parts of it by themselves should have any life of their own, do thereby, no less than the Stoical Theipts, , make this life of their's to be no corporeal quality or form, but an incorporeal substance ; which is to contradict their own hypothesis.. From whence we may conclude, that the Cosmo-plastic or Stoical Atheism is, of the two, less considerable than the Hylozoic or StratonicaL

Wherefore, amongst these four forms of Atheism, that have been propounded, these two, the Atomic or Democritical, and the Hylozoic. or